

1  
2  
3  
4  
5                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7                   AT TACOMA

8 JAMES O'NEIL WIGGIN,  
9                   Plaintiff,  
10 v.  
11 WILLIAM ROLLINS, et al.,  
12                   Defendants.

7  
8                   CASE NO. C13-5057 BHS-KLS  
9  
10                  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT  
11                  AND RECOMMENDATION

12  
13         This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”)  
14 of the Honorable Karen L. Strombom, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 118), and  
15 Defendants’ objections to the R&R (Dkt. 119).

16         On October 4, 2013, Judge Strombom issued the R&R recommending that the  
17 Court grant Plaintiff James O’Neil Wiggin’s (“Wiggin”) motion to amend and deny  
18 Defendants’ motion to dismiss as moot. Dkt. 118. Judge Strombom concluded that the  
19 allegations in Wiggin’s amended complaint stated a cognizable claim for deliberate  
20 indifference under the Eight Amendment. *Id.* On October 18, 2013, Defendants filed  
21 objections arguing that Wiggin had failed to state allegations that amount to deliberate  
22 indifference. Dkt. 119. The Court disagrees with Defendants and finds that Wiggin’s

1 allegations, if taken as true, state a claim for deliberate indifference to his health.  
2 Therefore, the Court having considered the R&R, Defendants' objections, and the  
3 remaining record, does hereby find and order as follows:

- 4 (1) The R&R is **ADOPTED**;
- 5 (2) Wiggins motion to amend is **GRANTED**;
- 6 (3) Defendants' motion to dismiss is **DENIED**; and,
- 7 (4) The matter is re-referred for further proceedings.

8 Dated this 20th day of November, 2013.

9  
10   
11 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22